

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,130	11/20/2003	Eero Kaappa	KOLS.064PA	9110	
7590 09/10/2007 Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC			EXAMINER		
Suite 125			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
8009 34th Avei Minneapolis, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
Office Action Summary		10/718,130	KAAPPA ET AL.	CIN
		Examiner	Art Unit	
···		Salad E. Abdullahi	2157	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence addres	ss
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. ie timely filed from the mailing date of this commu ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,			
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ao</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds applicant may not request that any objection to the second or other second	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
		ammer. Note the attached On	ice Action or form P1O-1	52.
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	ge
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,130

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/13/2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been fully considered but are not moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Oommen et al., U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0103484[hereinafter Oommen] in view

 of Pulsipher et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,948,055 [hereinafter Pulsipher].

 As per claim 1, Oommen discloses a method for determining the priority of a

 management object in a device management system comprising at least a device

management server and management customer device, in which the management

Application/Control Number: 10/718,130

Art Unit: 2157

server and management customer device are arranged to maintain management object data in a management tree, the method comprising:

determining the contents of new management object (see paragraph 0036-0037); attaching said at least one data element to the management tree maintained by the management server(see paragraph 0036-0037);

sending a file definition according to the management tree to the management customer device(see paragraph 0037); and

deassembling the file definition referred to in the management customer device into management tree form so that said priority data shows the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects(see paragraphs 0011, and 0037-0038).

Oommen is silent regarding: determining in the management server (management station) at least one data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects and determining priority of at least one sub object, belonging to the management object in relation to other sub objects.

Pulsipher discloses an object management system for prioritizing objects including determining in the management server (management station) at least one data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects and determining priority of at least one sub object, belonging to the management object in relation to other sub objects (see fig. 15 and col. 17, lines 13-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the object management mechanism of Pulsipher into the system of Oommen thus enabling managed object to be processed according their priority.

As per claim 2, Oommen discloses method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining in a server device according to SyncML Device Management and in a customer device according to SyncML Device Management priority data for a management object comprising provisioning settings of a WAP protocol for a Bootstrap process (see paragraph 0059).

As per claim 3, Oommen discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining the data element referred to in the management server, the data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, as separate leaf objects(see paragraph 0045); and attaching the leaf objects determining said priority data to the management tree maintained by the management server so that they are placed in parallel with the management/sub object, whose priority they determine (see paragraph 0045).

As per claim 4, Oommen discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising determining the data element mentioned in the management server, the data element comprising the priority data of at least one sub object in relation to other sub objects, as a run-time property definition(see paragraph 0048); and attaching said run-time property definitions determining said priority data to the meta data of the management tree maintained by the management server (see paragraph 0048).

Art Unit: 2157

5. As per claims 5-12, the claims include features analogous with features in claims 1-4, thus claims 5-12 are rejected same rational as claims 1-4.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad 8/29/2007

ABDUL AHI SALAD PRIMARY EXAMINER